IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON DIVISION

Verdell Williams, # 264383,	C/A. No. 8:04-1803-CMC-BHH
Petitioner,	
v.)	ORDER AND OPINION
William H. White, Warden, B.R.C.I.; and Henry McMaster, Attorney General for South Carolina,	
Respondents.	

Petitioner, proceeding *pro se*, has filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus seeking relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254. Respondents filed a motion for summary judgment and Petitioner filed a response to the motion.

In accordance with the court's order of reference, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), and Local Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(b), (d), and (e), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Bruce H. Hendricks for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation. On June 28, 2005, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending Respondents' motion for summary judgment be granted. The Magistrate Judge advised Petitioner of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and Recommendation and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Petitioner has filed no objections and the time for doing so has expired.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge to which

8:04-cv-01803-CMC Date Filed 07/28/05 Entry Number 14 Page 2 of 2

a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the

recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with

instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b).

After reviewing the record of this matter, the motion and response, the applicable law, and

the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the court agrees with the conclusions of

the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the court adopts and incorporates the Report and

Recommendation by reference in this order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Respondents' motion for summary judgment is

GRANTED and this matter is *dismissed with prejudice*.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina July 27, 2005

C:\Documents and Settings\guest\Local Settings\Temp\notesFFF692\04-1803 Williams v. White - mo for sj granted - dism with prej.wpd

2